## **ENTERED**

May 20, 2024

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Texas

United States of America	)	
V.	) 	
Nyreon Tucker	) Case No. 4:24-CR-00253-5	
Defendant	)	
•		
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Part I - Eligi	bility for Detention	
Upon the		
☑ Motion of the Government attorney pursu	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or	
	n motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),	
	n is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact	
and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i	), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.	
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	aw as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
☐A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S	.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable	
	nditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person	
and the community because the following condition		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):	
· ·	8 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.	
	term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or	
(b) an offense for which the maximum	•	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §	rm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the § 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or	
(d) any felony if such person has been of	convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs	
	or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal on of such offenses; <b>or</b>	
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a cri	ime of violence but involves:	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Fa firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); F) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>	
(2) the defendant has previously been convic	ted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.	
	at would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise	
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>		
	ove for which the defendant has been convicted was	
	the pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and	
(4) a period of not more than five years has e	lapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the	

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

<b>B.</b> Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is	a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Ac U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	t (21
$\boxtimes$ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 or more is prescribed;	0 years
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	term of
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2242, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(a)(3), 2252A(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(	
☑C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	S
OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	hearing,
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably a the safety of any other person and the community.	assure
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably as	sure
the defendant's appearance as required.	
the defendant's appearance as required.	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse	
the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment	

☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

After considering the pretrial services report, the testimony presented at the hearing, the proffer of the Defendant, and the factors contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), the Court concludes that the Defendant provided evidence to overcome the presumption, but that the United States met its burden to prove by clear and convincing evidence that no combination of conditions can reasonably assure the safety of the community. The Court bases this conclusion on the following findings and considerations:

(1) The charged offenses are multiple counts of aiding and abetting interference with commerce by robbery in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951 and aiding and abetting the use or brandishing of a firearm during a crime of violence in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The testimony at the hearing established that, as a group, Defendants have been connected to a total of nineteen different robberies of Uber/Lyft drivers.

The robberies followed a common pattern: an individual (either one of the Defendants or a person they knew) would request a ride from Lyft or Uber for pickup at a particular address. When the driver arrived, two or more Defendants entered the vehicle and shortly thereafter pointed a firearm at the driver/victim and threatened to kill him/her unless the victim cooperated with them. The Defendants then proceeded to rob the victims of items such as money, credit cards, phones, and airpods. The Defendants demanded passwords to cash transfer apps like CashApp, or required the victims to transfer money to them via CashApp or similar accounts. The Defendants forced victims, again at gunpoint, to drive to one or more ATM location and withdraw the victim's money and hand it over to Defendants. One victim was forced to withdraw a total of \$3500 from various ATM's, emptying his bank account.

Defendant Turner is accused of aiding and abetting or participating in one robbery of an Uber or Lyft driver and aiding and abetting or carrying/brandishing a firearm in connection with that robbery. Testimony at the hearing established that Defendants threatened to kill the driver if he failed to cooperate. The driver decided to defend himself and grabbed the barrell of the pistol pointed at him and pointed it at the roof of the vehicle. During the struggle with the weapon, the driver accidentally pressed on the accelerator and the vehicle crashed into a parked car. The Defendants fled the accident on foot. Tucker was arrested some time later and a loaded weapon was found in the vehicle he was in when arrested. There was also a juvenile in the car with him when arrested.

- (2) The weight of the evidence is the least important factor the Court considers when deciding the issue of detention. The evidence presented at the hearing regarding Defendant Turner is substantial. At the time of the robbery for which he was indicted, Turner was wearing a GPS monitor for a state bond offense. The location information from his GPS monitor matches the location and timing of the robbery. Furthermore, the rideshare request involved in the robbery came from "Nyreon" which is Tucker's first name and that ride share account affiliates with Tucker.
- (3) The history and characteristics of the Defendant. The Defendant is 19 years old and unemployed. Prior to his incarceration in the Harris County Jail on related state charges, he lived with his parents. His mother told pretrial services that he could return to live with her if given bond. Defendant denied mental health or substance use issues. However, the Defendant has a pending felony charge for possession of a controlled substance which predates the robberies for which he is charged. In addition he has a pending felony aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon charge that predates the robbery with which he is charged in this case, and for which he was on bond when the instant offense was committed.

The bond for aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon required the GPS monitor which Tucker was wearing when the instant offense was committed.

Tucker also has four pending state felony aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon charges which may or may not be related to the charges in this case. He also has a bond revocation due to committing a new law violation while on bond, in addition to multiple technical violations.

4. The seriousness of the danger posed by the Defendant's release. The charged offenses demonstrate that the Defendant represents a serious danger to the community. Testimony at the hearing connected Defendant to five of nineteen similar robberies of Uber/Lyft drivers in which drivers were held at gunpoint, threatened with death, forced to drive the Defendants around to various locations and withdraw money from ATM's, provide passwords and/or transfer money to Defendants. These incidents were not isolated and required coordination and planning. The incidents placed the drivers' lives in jeopardy and the drivers were threatened and feared for their lives. Additionally, Tucker has a history of committing aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon while on bond for the same offense.

Based on Tucker's past behavior while on bond, the Court has no confidence that he will follow any conditions this Court will set. Further, the Court finds the nature and circumstances of the charged offense, the weight of the evidence, the history and characteristics of the Defendant, and the seriousness of the danger posed by his release demonstrate by a clear and convincing evidence that he presents a danger to the community which cannot be reasonably mitigated by any combination of conditions.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

United States Magistrate Judge

Signed on May 20, 2024, at Houston, Texas.

Page 4 of 4